



D1.2: Quality Assurance Plan

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Due Date | 14 September 2018 |
| Delivery | |
| Submission of updated version | N/A |
| Lead Partner | |
| Dissemination Level | Public |
| Status | Final |
| Approved | Executive Board |
| Version | V1.4 |



DOCUMENT INFO

| Date and version number | Author | Comments |
|-------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|
| 08.08.2018 v1.1 | Robin Richardson | First Draft |
| 15.08.2018 v1.2 | Emily Lumley | Editor |
| 27.08.2018 v1.3 | Robin Richardson | Addition of reviewers' comments |
| 28.08.2018 v1.4 | Peter Coveney | Final approval comments added |
| | | |
| | | |

CONTRIBUTORS

- Robin Richardson – Main Author
- Emily Lumley – Editor
- Maxime Vassaux – Reviewer
- Hugh Martin – Reviewer
- Peter Coveney – Approver

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|---|--|---|
| 1 | Executive summary | 3 |
| 2 | Objectives | 3 |
| 3 | Related tasks..... | 3 |
| 4 | Description of the Deliverable | 4 |
| 5 | Quality Assurance Plan for Project Deliverables (which are not software) | 4 |
| 6 | QA Plan for Software Deliverables..... | 5 |
| 7 | Risk Analysis and Contingency Planning..... | 5 |
| 8 | Conclusions..... | 6 |

1 Executive summary

This document outlines the Quality Assurance Plan (QAP) that will be used in the VECMA project. It describes:

- the objectives of the plan;
- the tasks in the Description of the Action (DoA) that it relates to;
- a description of this deliverable;
- a detailed description of the plan itself;
- a description of the QAP for software deliverables;
- the procedures which are applied for publications of the VECMA project;
- an analysis of the risks associated with the QAP.

2 Objectives

The Quality Assurance Plan is linked to the following objectives of WP1:

- Establishment of the management infrastructure for efficient and constant monitoring and operation of the day-to-day project activities under contractual terms
- Handling of risks and contingencies
- To ensure the timely and high-quality achievement of the project results and deliverables through administrative coordination
- To ensure the quality control of the project results and deliverables and the risk management of the project as a whole

D1.2 is part of WP1 Management, which oversees the overall technical, financial and administrative management of the consortium and the project's activities. The activities in this work package include all activities necessary to successfully manage and run the consortium.

3 Related tasks

D1.2 directly relates to Task1.2: Quality Control and work plan monitoring. According to this task the project management will manage and support the quality control and timely delivery of project reports and deliverables. Amongst others, this includes:

- Setup and maintenance of an internal quality assurance procedure to monitor deliverables
- Monitoring of all project activities and ensuring that they are in line with the project workplan
- Ensuring that necessary actions are undertaken in case of delays or underachievement and, if required, executing the appropriate contingency plan to minimise any delays and their impact on dependent work packages;
- Risk analysis, monitoring, and contingency planning.

4 Description of the Deliverable

The QAP will be set up and maintained to monitor all deliverables before finalising them. The deliverable also contains a risk analysis and contingency planning related to Quality Assurance and deliverables.

5 Quality Assurance Plan for Project Deliverables (which are not software)

1. The first step in the QAP is the check by the Corresponding Deliverable Editor of the quality of the content of the deliverable. The Deliverable Editor is appointed by the lead beneficiary of that deliverable. The Deliverable Editor will check the following points:
 - The deliverable covers the stated objectives;
 - The quality of the work described in the deliverable is of high standard and is in accord with what is expected;
 - The quality of the writing of the document is of high standard with respect to style, errors and organisation; readability; and illustrations. This is described in the Project Handbook.
 - The deliverable is complete, i.e. there are no missing parts, missing references, missing explanations of concepts;
 - The deliverable is clearly written and understandable by its potential readers.

2. The Deliverable should be written in Word unless otherwise agreed with the consortium. The deliverable editor must provide the consortium with a version which is readable for all and use the provided deliverable template. The format for the title should be as follows:

VECMA_D[WP#].[D#].[Short Title]_[lead partner]_[version#]_[YYYYMMDD].[extension]

This is an example:
VECMA_D1.2_QualityAssurancePlan_UCL_v1.0_20180914

3. Next, to ensure that these standards of quality are achieved, each deliverable will be submitted for project-internal peer review 4 weeks before the delivery date of the deliverable. The peer reviewers will be at least 2 members of the consortium, who have not been directly involved in the work described in the deliverable. They will be selected by the Project Coordinator and Project Manager at least 5 weeks before the delivery date. They will read the submitted deliverable and suggest changes where necessary. During the review, the deliverable draft should also be accessible by all project members through the intranet.

4. The assessments of the peer reviewers are sent by email to the Deliverable Editor 2 weeks before the delivery date of the deliverable. The Deliverable Editor has one week for the revision of the deliverable.

5. The Deliverable Editor will send the revised version of the deliverable to the Reviewers to check whether the comments have been adequately addressed if possible within two days. The reviewer's comments and recommendations will be sent to the Project Manager and the Executive Board (EB) a few days before the delivery date. The EB leader will ensure that the Deliverable Editor takes into account the suggestions of the reviewers in preparing the final document.
6. The Deliverable Editor will send the final version of the deliverable to the Project Manager at least 48 hours before the delivery date.

6 QA Plan for Software Deliverables

A similar procedure will be applied in the case of internally reviewing software deliverables. However, a user not familiar with the software should be able to install and run it, guided by appropriate documentation. The main functionality of the software and its integration with other VECMA or external components should be checked by running basic tests. Reviewers of such releases should be given at least one more week than the regular deliverable release schedule. These releases will follow a well-defined schedule of testing leading up to this final review and release and will be widely disseminated upon finalisation. A report on the readiness of the software will be sent to WP3 Lead (Derek Groen) and the identified reviewers at 6 months and 3 months prior to the release date.

7 Risk Analysis and Contingency Planning

The following risks associated with the QAP can be identified:

a) Deliverable is not submitted to a project-internal peer review one month before the delivery date of the deliverable.

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Probability | Medium |
| Impact | Minor |
| Risk assessment | Medium |
| Mitigation | Deliverable Editor to update WP leader and Coordinator about the progress of the deliverable. PM will start reminding Deliverable Editors 2 months before the delivery date. |

b) Peer reviewers do not complete their review of the deliverable within one week

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Probability | Medium |
| Impact | Minor |
| Risk assessment | Minor |
| Mitigation | Project Coordinator to ensure timely appointment of reviewers. |

| | |
|--|---|
| | Project Manager to remind reviewers one week before submission that deliverable is due for submission, and to monitor the progress of the review. |
|--|---|

c) Major problems with the deliverable are discovered by the peer reviewers

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| Probability | Small |
| Impact | Medium |
| Risk assessment | Minor-Medium |
| Mitigation | Progress of the deliverables will be checked regularly internally within the work packages through intra-WP meetings and teleconferences, and through the WP leader teleconferences. For software releases, readiness reports will be checked by WP3 leader and the reviewers 6 months and 3 months prior to the release date. |

8 Conclusions

This deliverable has outlined the Quality Assurance Plan of the VECMA project. The Quality Assurance Plan will be set up and maintained to monitor all deliverables before finalising them. It is part of the management infrastructure of the project that allows the Project Support Unit to monitor and operate the day-to-day project activities efficiently. It is linked to Task 1.2 of the project: Quality control and work plan monitoring. It has outlined the five different steps of the actual QAP, and the additional requirements of the QAP for software deliverables. We have described the three most common risks associated with the QAP, how probable they are to occur; the impact if they were to occur; the assessment of the risk; and ways to mitigate the risk.